

~~SECRET~~

2 June 1967

25X1  
Comment on Director/OS Memo, and Memo of Understanding on  
CIA [redacted] Communications Link

25X1  
Several suggestions re Memo of Understanding between  
[redacted] and CIA:

1. the memo and attachments should bear a classification. Not only would the enemy be able to target on the communications link, but he would learn the volume of [redacted] and the elements 25X1 of information used in the process.

2. the paper states that CIA agrees to inform [redacted] within 25X1 24 working hours whether the reply is "record" or "no record." This is no problem for [redacted] or for OS, both of which will make this response on the basis of review of the index card alone. It does present the CS with a problem, since we often do not know whether there is a record or not until the documents have been reviewed. This point should be checked with C/RID, to insure that RID can in fact meet such a deadline.


3. as you suggested, we should note that the Agency is picking up the entire cost of lease and installation of equipment. I don't know why [redacted] couldn't carry its share.

4. AC/DDP/Systems Group should also be asked to concur with this proposal, as the Information Processing Coordinator for the CS.

[redacted] 25X1

Orig & 2 - Attached

~~SECRET~~



	UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET
--	--------------	--------------	--------

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP


TO	STAT NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	<input type="text"/>		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY	
APPROVAL	DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION	
COMMENT	FILE	RETURN	
CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	SIGNATURE	

Remarks:

To 1 -

we cannot always meet the  
24 hr deadline, due to the fact STAT  
documents may be at  - charged out  
to area division etc. Other than that  
we concur -

STAT



FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER

FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.

DATE



STAT

## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

FROM: 7D EXTENSION NO. SSA/DDS 67-1184  
DATE

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DATE		OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)
	RECEIVED	FORWARDED		
1. AC/SG			RZ	1-5: Systems Group has been working with OS on this setup, and would like to be kept informed since we have started computer programing to reformat the [redacted] punch cards to facilitate RID [redacted]
2.			STAT	[redacted] In addition, we want to keep abreast of this effort since it will affect planning for mechanization of our Main RID Index. So far, it looks OK. We would like a copy of the Memo of Understanding and attachments. Thanks.
3. <del>AC/SG</del>			STAT	
4. STAT				
5. [redacted] SSA/DDS 7D16				
6.				
7.				
8.				
9.				
10.				
11.				
12.				
13.				
14.				
15.				

STAT [redacted]

 sent copy -6/6/67

<b>TRANSMITTAL SLIP</b>		DATE <b>STAT</b>
		1 June 1967
<b>TO:</b> Mr. Warfield <i>VIA</i> <span style="float: right;"><i>✓</i></span>		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	
<b>REMARKS:</b> <p>The attached memorandum from Mr. Osborn gives some of the background <b>STAT</b> regarding the memorandum of understanding as to our communications link with <span style="float: right;"><b>STAT</b></span></p> <p><span style="float: right;"><b>STAT</b></span> While I think your signing the <b>STAT</b> memorandum of understanding would be sufficient, I see no problem with putting your signature on Mr. Osborn's memo as well. Year-end money has been allocated to the Office of Communications for this project, and we have a 1 July completion date as our target.</p>		
<p>Recommend two signatures. <span style="float: right;"><b>STAT</b></span></p> <p><i>Jm.</i> <i>HM</i></p>		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	
FORM NO. 241 1 FEB 55		REPLACES FORM WHICH MAY BE

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM

UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET
--------------	--------------	--------

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP

TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	Mr. Wadfield -		W
2	via [redacted] ✓		
3			
4			
5			
6			
ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY	
APPROVAL	DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION	
COMMENT	FILE	RETURN	
CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	SIGNATURE	

Remarks:

Per your request, I showed the CI, AD and Systems Group Comments to Howard Osborne. He agreed that the attachment should be classified CONFIDENTIAL, and it has been so classified. Regarding costs, he stated that first year would cost \$4,000 and later years \$13,000. He also stated that we get major benefit from it.

*Recommends signature*

FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER

FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.	DATE
STAT	6/16/7